ROBERT W. FERGUSON 1 Attorney General 2 PAUL M. CRISALLI, WSBA #40681 BRIAN H. ROWE, WSBA #56817 3 R. JULY SIMPSON, WSBA #45869 JEFFREY T. SPRUNG, WSBA #23607 4 SPENCER W. COATES, WSBA #49683 Assistant Attorneys General 5 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 6 (206) 464-7744 7 The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, NO. 2:20-cv-01119-BJR 11 Plaintiff. PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S MOTION TO 12 v. RECONSIDER THE OCTOBER 26, 2020 MINUTE ORDER AND TO 13 BETSY DeVOS, in her official capacity as ENTER JUDGMENT FOR Secretary of the United States Department of WASHINGTON 14 Education; and the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, a federal 15 agency, 16 Defendants. 17 18 I. INTRODUCTION 19 This Court issued a preliminary injunction enjoining the Defendants' April 30, 2020 20 Guidance (April Guidance) and July 1, 2020 interim final rule (IFR). The Court's October 26, 21 2020 minute order dismissing this case supersedes that preliminary injunction. While there is a 22 decision from the District of Columbia vacating the IFR in NAACP v. DeVos, No. 20-cv-1996 23 (DLF), 2020 WL 5291406 (D.D.C. Sept. 4, 2020), Washington's interests are not sufficiently 24 protected by that decision, where enforcement of that decision imposes additional steps on 25 Washington to file a new case and bring emergency motions, as compared to simply enforcing 26 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S 1

PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE OCTOBER 26, 2020 MINUTE ORDER AND TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR WASHINGTON NO. 2:20-cv-01119-BJR

Complex Litigation Division 800 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-7744

24

25

26

its own judgment. With approximately two months still left in the current administration, Washington prefers to have its own judgment in the event it needs to seek enforcement. The Court should withdraw its October 26, 2020 minute order and convert the preliminary injunction into a final judgment in favor of Washington.

II. FACTS

In July 2020, Washington filed suit against the Defendants, challenging the April Guidance and the IFR, which interpreted the CARES Act provisions for providing funding to primary and secondary education. ECF # 1. This Court preliminary enjoined both the April Guidance and the IFR on August 21, 2020. ECF # 54 at p. 21.

On September 4, 2020, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered a summary judgment order vacating the IFR. *NAACP*, 2020 WL 5291406. Following that decision, after a status conference addressing the impact of the case, Washington moved for summary judgment. ECF # 64. Defendants did not notify the Court whether they intended to appeal, as this Court ordered, and Defendants have submitted no response to the summary judgment motion. The Defendants ultimately publicly indicated that they would not appeal the District of Columbia district court's summary judgment decision. On October 9, the Department issued Guidance (October Guidance) that recognized the vacatur of the rule but also included similar, if not identical provisions as in the April Guidance.¹

Washington and other Plaintiff States have had discussions with Defendants about the possibility of an agreed judgment. During those discussions, this Court entered a minute order dismissing the case, finding that the District of Columbia district court's decision had effectively enjoined the rule at issue in this case. ECF # 65. Washington now brings this motion to withdraw that order and convert its preliminary injunction into a final judgment.²

¹Available at https://oese.ed.gov/files/2020/10/Providing-Equitable-Services-under-the-CARES-Act-Programs-Update-10-9-2020.pdf (last accessed Nov. 9, 2020).

²The Defendants informed the Washington that their position is: "the claim presented in this case is moot because Plaintiff has received all the relief requested in its Complaint. There is, therefore, no reason for the Court

1

3

2

5

8

7

10

9

1112

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

III. ARGUMENT

When this Court preliminarily enjoined the April Guidance and the IFR, it made clear its views on Defendants' legal errors. As the Court is aware, other courts came to similar conclusions. When this Court dismissed the case as moot because of those decisions, it superseded its preliminary injunction order. While there is a final order from another court vacating the IFR, Washington was not a party to that case. With this case now dismissed and no preliminary injunction in force, Washington would have to file a new suit and engage in motions practice if the Defendants fail to follow the terms of the District of Columbia's decision. This means that Washington would have to pass unnecessary hurdles, particularly where there is about two months left until a change in administrations.

Consequently, Washington respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in this case. In that case, if Defendants fail to follow the Court's ruling, Washington has a quicker and easier process to seek enforcement of the judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 70; see California v. Azar, 911 F.3d 558, 583–84 (9th Cir. 2018), cert. denied sub nom. Little Sisters of the Poor Jeanne Jugan Residence v. California, 139 S. Ct. 2716, 204 L. Ed. 2d 1111 (2019) (explaining need for district court to address claims despite an injunction in another circuit). Accordingly, because this Court has already engaged in the legal analysis, the Court should reconsider its order dismissing the case and enter a judgment in favor of Washington that enjoins the April Guidance and IFR.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court should withdraw its October 26 minute order dismissing the case and enter judgment for Washington.

3

to reconsider its dismissal; should Plaintiff proceed with filing such a motion, please indicate that Defendants oppose on the ground that the case is moot."

1	DATED this 9th day of November	er 2020.
2		ROBERT W. FERGUSON
3		Attorney General
4		s/ Paul M. Crisalli PAUL M. CRISALLI, WSBA #40681
5		BRIAN H. ROWE, WSBA #56817 R. JULY SIMPSON, WSBA #45869
6		JEFFREY T. SPRUNG, WSBA #23607 SPENCER W. COATES, WSBA #49683
7		Assistant Attorneys General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
8		Seattle, WA 98104-3188 (206) 464-744
9		paul.crisalli@atg.wa.gov brian.rowe@atg.wa.gov
10		july.simpson@atg.wa.gov jeff.sprung@atg.wa.gov
11		spencer.coates@atg.wa.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington
12		Intorneys for I turning state of Trushington
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S	4 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE OCTOBER 26, 2020 MINUTE ORDER AND TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR WASHINGTON NO. 2:20-cv-01119-BJR

1	<u>DECLARATION OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby declare that on this day I caused the foregoing document to be electronically
3	filed with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF System, which will serve a copy of
4	this document upon all counsel of record.
5	DATED this 9th day of November 2020, at Seattle, Washington.
6	n/Dm. LM. Coingill:
7	<u>s/Paul M. Crisalli</u> PAUL M. CRISALLI, WSBA #40681 Assistant Attorney General
8	Assistant Attorney General
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
7.D	

5

PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE OCTOBER 26, 2020 MINUTE ORDER AND TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR WASHINGTON NO. 2:20-cv-01119-BJR